

## **Goals for the Tutorial**

- Explain the concept and motivation of Adaptable Components
- Present alternate mechanisms for representing an Adaptable Component
- Show how to create an Adaptable Component:
  - by abstracting from a single-use component
  - by unifying similar existing components
- Look beyond, to Domain-specific Engineering

# **Objectives of Reuse**

- Produce a product
  - with better quality
  - in less time
  - using less detailed expertise
- Leverage an organization's combined knowledge and expertise.
- Provide a rapid prototyping capability:
  - develop better understanding of a customer's needs
  - explore alternative solutions to a customer's problem

# What Does Not Work

- A library containing thousands of single-use parts
- Text-based searching for a needed part
- Incentives to write or use 'reusable' parts more
- Generic parts, beyond current use
- Reworking a single-use 'reusable' part to suit a new single-use need

# What Does Work

Adaptable Components in a product line context

- Reusable parts built for multiple use (tailorable to different needs)
- Reuse based on an analysis of current and likely future needs, viewing differences as deferred decisions
- Systematic reuse as a product line investment strategy

## **Goals for Adaptable Components**

- Represent any number of similar software components with a single definition
- Mechanically retrieve/generate a particular component instance by resolving deferred decisions
- Cost no more to develop than 1-3 individual components

## **Topic Outline**

- What is an Adaptable Component?
- Notations, General and Special
- Examples
- Exercise
- Summation

# **Definitions**

- Component: A fragment of a work product
- Component Family: A set of components that are sufficiently similar to be described effectively by the same abstraction
- Abstraction: A concept that characterizes any instance of a family equally well
- Metaprogram: A program that generates instances of a component family
- Adaptable component: A representation of a family sufficient to specify a corresponding metaprogram

# **A Usual Context for Reuse**

- Writing a program that is somewhat similar to past programs.
- The program is organized into a set of "components" for modularity.
- Each component has a specified interface that other components reference.
- Each component can be
  - written from scratch
  - reused, perhaps with changes, from past work.

When would reuse be the right choice?

## **A Conventional View of Reuse**

- 1. Find previously built components similar to what you need.
- 2. Choose one that best matches what you need.
- 3. Change it so it that it does exactly what you need.
- 4. Save it for future reuse by others?

## **Questions with this Approach**

- Does the component you need exist and can you find it?
- Alternatively, do components similar to what you need exist? Which one will be easiest to change to fit your needs?
- Does the component you need work correctly? If you have to change it, will it still work correctly?
- Does the component do things you don't want? Can you safely remove them?
- How long will all of this take and wouldn't it be easier just to write it yourself?

# Analysis

- Reuse ought to be routine for a reliable, cost-effective software development process.
- A conventional approach to reuse
  - is problematically opportunistic.
  - makes the reuser do most of the work, within poorly specified limits assumed by the developer.
  - puts all risk on the reuser, without institutional support. ("reuse to save money but if it doesn't work out it's your problem")
  - never establishes why similar solutions are possible.
- A better conceived, less simplistic approach to reuse is needed.

## **Foundations**

- E. W. Dijkstra, "On Program Families", *Structured Programming*, Academic Press, London, 1972, 39-41.
- D. L. Parnas, "On the Design and Development of Program Families", *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.* SE-2 (March 1976), 1-9.
- J. A. Goguen, "Parameterized Programming", *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.* SE-10, 5 (September 1984), 528-543.
- N. Dershowitz, "Program Abstraction and Instantiation", ACM Trans. Prog. Languages & Systems 7, 3 (July 1985), 446-477.

## A Basis for Effective Reuse

- 1. The only sound basis for reuse is an envisioned set of *similar* products or components: a <u>family</u>.
- 2. Similarity implies both *commonality* and *variability*:
  - Commonality is the basis for *standardization* (of work products and process for a <u>domain</u>).
  - Variability characterizes the *flexibility* needed to accommodate different needs.
- **3.** *Adaptability* is an explicit representation of similarity:
  - A characteristic set of deferred <u>decisions</u> distinguish among the members of a family.

## **Keys to Reuse Success**

- Standardization: Avoid incidental differences between similar reusable components.
- Easy (transparent) customization: Accommodate essential differences needed to satisfy specific needs.
- Ownership: Guarantee that somebody knows how each component works and is responsible for error fixes and enhancements.
- Motivation: Create reusable components based on expectations about future needs.

# **Topic Outline**

- What is an Adaptable Component?
- Notations, General and Special
- Examples
- Exercise
- Summation

## Parts of an Adaptable Component

- An abstraction: What is the intended purpose of these components?
- Parameters (representing decisions): Why is there a need for more than one of these components? How are they different from each other?
- A definition: Given a set of parameter values, what are the steps to create a corresponding component?

# The Role of Decisions

- Engineering is a decision-making process.
- An Adaptable Component shows how different ways to resolve a set of decisions lead to different programs.
- Decisions represent:
  - Customer requirements (needs and constraints).
  - Engineering tradeoffs (such as cost, quality, ease of change, esthetics, and feasibility).
- A focus on similar problems (a family) enables standardization, reducing number, variety, and complexity of decisions.

## **Precursor Mechanisms**

- Alternative implementations of standardized components
- Generalized (runtime-adaptive) components
- Partial-code generators (GUI, parsers, etc.)
- Word processor conditional/form letter mechanisms
- Compiler macros, flags, and switches
- Object-oriented language mechanisms: subclasses, inheritance
- Templates (C++)/generics (Ada)

## Motivations for a Special-Purpose Mechanism

- A set of similar components can be concisely represented in one unified source.
- Form and content of instances is easily perceived.
- Adaptations are traceable entirely to parameters.
- Parameters can be expressed at a problem-level, independent of solution details.
- Instance components can be derived mechanically.

## An Adaptable Component Notation

- Target text (common parts of components)
- MetaPrograms (variant parts of components)
  - Name, to identify the abstraction
  - Parameters, with which reusers control tailoring
  - Definition (target text containing metaConstructs), to show how to extract tailored instances

```
« program F ( pl: text,
        p2: (p3:text*, p4:text)? ) «
some common text «pl» «
p2.p3
? «repeating text:«for p in p2.p3 ««p»»»
: «alternate text:«p2.p4»»
»
»
```

#### **Parameters**

• A text value referenced by the name p1

p1 : text

• Optional

p1 <u>?</u>: text

- Symbolic (optional, non-valued)
   p1 ?
- Multivalued

pl : text \*

• Structured or variant

pl:(p:text\*, q:( q1?, q2:(r1:text\*, r2:text)? ))

## **MetaConstructs**

- Substitution:
  - <u>« pl »</u>
- Selective substitution:
   <pl.q.ql ? «with ql» : «without ql» »</li>
- Repetitive substitution:
  - « <u>for</u> i <u>in</u> pl.p «same but different due to «i».»»

## Writing an Adaptable Component

- 1. Write a prototypical instance component.
- 2. Write a top-level metaProgram based on major decisions.
- **3.** Derive prototypical and 2-3 new instance components.
- 4. Refine the metaProgram to support extended/refined decisions, based on experience in #3.
- 5. Extend the metaProgram based on likely future needs.
- 6. Write subordinate metaPrograms to manage complexity.
- 7. Regenerate old instances to verify and update, as needed.

# **Topic Outline**

- What is an Adaptable Component?
- Notations, General and Special
- Examples
- Exercise
- Summation

## **Example Adaptable Components**

- Sequenced collections
- Application-specific spreadsheets
- Specialized reuser tools

## A.C. Example 1 Sequenced Collections

- A progression from the specific to the abstract:
- 1. Fixed-size, fixed-type stack
- 2. Fixed-size, variant-type stack
- 3. Variant-size, variant-type stack
- 4. Variant-size, variant-type, variant-access sequence (stacks, queues, deques)

## F-size, F-type Stack

```
public class intStack {
```

```
static final int maxSize = 1024;
int data [] = new int [maxSize];
int size = 0;
```

```
public void add (int p1) throws stackFull {
    if (size == maxSize) throw new stackFull ();
    data [size++] = p1;
    }
```

```
public int get () throws stackEmpty {
    if (size == 0) throw new stackEmpty ();
    return data [--size];
}
```

# F-size, V-type Stack

« program stacks (<u>name: text</u>, <u>datatype: text</u>, <u>maxsize:text</u>) «
public class «name»Stack {

```
«datatype» data [] = new «datatype» [«maxsize»];
int size = 0;
```

```
public void add («datatype» p1) throws stackFull {
    if (size == «maxsize») throw new stackFull ();
    data [size++] = p1;
    l
```

```
public «datatype» get () throws stackEmpty {
    if (size == 0) throw new stackEmpty ();
    return data [--size];
```

**» »** 

# V-size, V-type Stack

« program stack (name: text, datatype: text, <u>maxsize?:text</u>) «
public class «name»Stack {

```
«maxsize?««datatype» data [] = new «datatype» [«maxsize»]; int size = 0»
: «Vector data = new Vector () »»;
```

```
public void add («datatype» p1) {
    data«maxsize?« [size++] = p1»:«.put (p1)»»;
    }
```

**» »** 

```
public «datat ype» get () throws stackEmpty {
    if («maxsize?«size»:«data.size()»» == 0) throw new stackEmpty ();
    return data«maxsize?« [--size]»:«.get ()»»;
    }
```

# V-size, V-type, V-access Sequence

```
« program lifoProcs (name:text, datatype:text, maxsize:text) «
    public «datatype» getFirst () throws «name»Empty {
        if («maxsize=«»?«size»:«data.size()»» == 0) throw new «name»Empty ();
        return data«maxsize?« [--size]»:«.get ()»»;
        }
```

```
» »
```

```
• •
```

« program sequence (name:text, datatype:text, maxsize?:text, <u>access:(fifo?,lifo?)</u>) «
public class «name» {

```
public void add («datatype» value) { ... }
```

```
«access.lifo ? ««lifoProcs (name:««name»», datatype:««datatype»»,
maxsize:««maxsize?««maxsize»»:«»»» »»»
«access.fifo ? ««fifoProcs (name:««name»», datatype:««datatype»»,
maxsize:««maxsize?««maxsize»»:«»»» »»»
```

```
}
»»
```

# A.C. Example 2 Application-Specific Spreadsheets

- Properties and functions of spreadsheets
- Decision specifications for a family of special-purpose spreadsheets
- Implementation in the form of a configurable Java applet

# Steps in Using a Spreadsheet

- Set up
  - Layout, labels, and cell formatting
  - Cell content functions and data sources
  - Applicable chart, analysis, and report types and formats
- Use
  - Enter raw data
  - Generate charts, analyses, and reports
  - Verify results

**Objective of adaptability: Minimize set up by users** 

## **Detailed Goals of Adaptability**

- Add domain-specific extensions (formulas, analyses, reports, procedures)
- Preverify consistency of system of computations
- Remove unneeded capabilities provided in generalized tools
- Reduce breadth of required user skills and knowledge
- Tailor interface to fit skills and knowledge of a specialized user community
- Standardize techniques across a user community

## **A Special-Purpose Implementation**

- Automate set up to create a spreadsheet tool tailored to a particular user community's needs
- Derived from Sun Java Spreadsheet applet example
- HTML file supplies applet parameter values that guide tailoring
- Example families (subfamilies)
  - Financial recordkeeping (income/expenses, investments)
  - Scheduling (tasks, personnel)
  - Product tracking (orders, in-production, inventory)

## **Set-Up Decisions**

- Spreadsheet title
- Fixed geometry
  - Row and column names
  - Cell names
- Cell content
  - Numeric value
  - Formula (using row@column or cell names)
  - Comment
- Fixed cell content type, fixed computations

## Sample HTML Source

<applet code="SpreadSheet.class" width=320 height=120> <param name=title value="Income Statement"> <param name=columnNames

value="1990,1991,1992, ,Accum,1993, "> <param name=rowNames value="gross,taxes,net"> <param name=gross@1990 value="10000"> <param name=taxes@1990 value="1600"> <param name=taxes@1991 value="f'gross@1991'\*0.22"> <param name=taxes@Accum

value="f'taxes@1990'+'taxes@1991'+'taxes@1992'"> <param name=net@1990 value="f'gross@1990'-'taxes@1990'"> <param name=net@1991 value="f'gross@1991'-'taxes@1991'"> <param name=net@1992 value="f'gross@1992'-'taxes@1992'"> <param name=taxes@Accum#name value="Prior Taxes"> </applet>

## **Derived Spreadsheet**

#### **Income Statement**

Prior Taxes: f'taxes@1990'+'taxes@1991'+'taxes@1992'

	1990	1991	1992	Accum	1993	
gross	10000	30000	50000		53000	
taxes	1600	6600	9000	17200		
net	8400	23400	41000			

## A.C. Example 3 Specialized Reuser Tools

- A "generator" Adaptable Component (instances are programs having a graphical interface for instantiating some other Adaptable Component)
- Shown here: reuser interfaces to 2 Adaptable Components whose instances are simple text documents
  - Newspaper Jobs Listing (NJL)
  - Customized Computer Order Invoice (CCOI)

## **Steps Followed**

- **0.** Write the "generator" Adaptable Component (AC<sub>G</sub>)
- 1. Write a "target" Adaptable Component (AC<sub>T</sub>) {such as NJL or CCOI}
- 2. Instantiate  $AC_G$ , describing parameters expected by  $AC_T$ , to create Java program  $P_T$
- **3.** Compile P<sub>T</sub>
- 4. Use  $P_T$  to
  - input parameter values for  $AC_T$
  - instantiate AC<sub>T</sub>

Interface Generated for NJL						
As-of Date: Month: August 🗢 Day: 15 Year: 1998 🖨						
Avaitable Jobs Calegory: Programmer 🖨 Specially: C 🗣						
Years Experience Needed? 3 Minimum Salary? Maximum Salary? 42						
GENERATE						
© 1999, PHS						

#### **Interface Generated for CCOI**

Order Details	
Plationn Selection: Computer Type: Server 🌩 Server Function: Communicati 单	
Component Selections: Primary Disk gigabytes: 12.3 Secondary Disk gigabytes: 25.6	
Removable Media: 2 Gigebyte 🗢 Printer: जिल्लाम 🜩	
Customer intormation: Name: James Keller	
Street Address:	
City/State/Zip: Telephone #:	
Transaction Information: AgentName: Dave Thompson Full Price: 28000	
Negatiated Price: 25650	
Generate	
	© 1999, Pl

IS

# **Topic Outline**

- What is an Adaptable Component?
- Notations, General and Special
- Examples
- Exercise
- Summation

## **Exercise Purpose**

- Think about why similar components are different:
  - To fill different needs (essential differences)
  - Due to different implementers (incidental differences)
- Think about how essential differences can be expressed as deferred decisions
- Think about how a set of similar components can be represented as an Adaptable Component

## **Exercise Procedure**

- Compare sample instances (simple Java code) for similarities:
  - Expense ledger
  - Job assignment schedule
  - Publications reference list
- Unify instances to create an informal Adaptable Component (mark up one instance to show how other instances match or differ).
- Define an abstraction and deferred decisions for your Adaptable Component (propose a vocabulary for distinguishing the samples as instances of a family).

## **Guide to Comparing Instances**

- Find similar fragments in any 2 instances:
  - Are there similarities in structure or parts, ignoring incidental differences such as naming?
  - Are there similarities, allowing for consistent essential differences such as data types?
  - What editing actions would make the fragments the same?
  - Do different needs justify differences found?
- See whether each fragment occurs in other instances:
  - Yes, a common element
  - No, other essential or incidental differences

# **Guide to Unifying Instances**

- Incidental differences: Select best and eliminate alternatives.
- Essential differences: Characterize equivalent editing action
  - Substitution: replacement with instantiator-provided content, specific to each instance
  - Selection: a choice among alternative predetermined contents
  - Repetition: repetition, with tailoring, of some standard content

## **Guide to Defining an Abstraction**

- Identify a unifying concept:
  - Characterize the set of sampled instances
  - Generalize to include other likely instances
- Identify deferred decisions:
  - Characterize decisions that match the abstraction
    - » Sample-derived decisions may be too solution-specific.
    - » How would a reuser want to express what they need?
  - Group related decisions
  - Mark up the Adaptable Component to show where deferred decisions are referenced

## (Do the Exercise)

# **Questions**

- Can any other programs be built with the Adaptable Component you have described? What are they?
- Suppose you wanted to add capabilities to the 3 sample programs. What would you add? Which would be less effort in this case:
  - Modifying each of the sample programs as needed?
  - Extending the Adaptable Component to build the enhanced programs?
- Suppose you found an error in one of these programs. Would it be better to fix that program or to fix an Adaptable Component and regenerate the program? Why?

# **Topic Outline**

- What is an Adaptable Component?
- Notations, General and Special
- Examples
- Exercise
- Summation

## **Aspects of Adaptable Components**

- Abstraction of a family of similar instances
- Deferred decisions that distinguish among instances
- A metaprogram that can generate family instances
- Used to retrieve a customized reusable component:
  - Make decisions
  - Generate instance
  - Verify instance for intended use
  - Modify decisions, instance, or Adaptable Component, as appropriate

# Motivations for Adaptable Components, Revisited

- Adaptable components support diversity and change:
  - Effective reuse requires tailoring to specific needs
  - Tailoring is decision-based and mechanical
- Repository-associated costs are minimized:
  - Developer builds one component for multiple needs
  - Storage space is a fraction of storing equivalent set of instance components
  - Reuser effort and risks reduced
- Maintenance of one Adaptable Component is easier:
  - Errors fixed once
  - Improvements available to all

## Beyond Adaptable Components: Domain-specific Engineering

Standardization of the most effective solutions to a class of similar problems

- Identify a product line business area whose customers need similar products.
- Develop a shared understanding of how and why needed products are similar.
- Create the means to produce standardized, customized products rapidly.
- Transition systematically, with tailoring and incremental improvement.



## **DsE** Activities

- Domain Engineering:
  - Standardize a product family, adaptable to deferred requirement and engineering decisions.
  - Establish a standard process for resolving deferred decisions.
- Application Engineering:
  - Resolve deferred decisions to match customer needs.
  - Mechanically produce a product, adapted to resolved decisions.







## **Benefits of DsE**

- Customer needs expressed in a standardized, abbreviated form and terminology ensures clearer communication and earlier discovery of unsupported needs.
- Quality improvements in the product family improve the quality of all products.
- Process standardization fosters more predictable schedules and cost estimates.
- Process streamlining, based on a product family, reduces time and effort to deliver similar products.
- Problem and solution knowledge and expertise are more easily shared and extended.

## For Additional Information on DsE and Adaptable Components

**Prosperity Heights Software** 

www.domain-specific.com info@domain-specific.com 1 703 573 3139

GradyCampbell@acm.org